## **Comparator table:**

<b>Ethics Report Best Practice</b>	LGA Model Code Provisions	CEC draft	Group Leader recommendations
Best practice 2: Councils should	As a Councillor:	The Working Group has asked that this is deleted	That the Model Code should be adopted,
include provisions in their code of		from the Cheshire East Councillor Code of Conduct	and para 8.2 reinserted.
conduct requiring councillors to	8.2 I cooperate with any Code	on the basis that if a councillor cannot be legally	
comply with any formal standards	of Conduct investigation and/or	compelled to cooperate, a Councillor should not	
investigation, and prohibiting	determination.	be expected to cooperate.	
trivial or malicious allegations by			
councillors.			
Extract from Plymouth City	As a councillor:	The working group are recommending this is	That the Model Code should be adopted,
Council code of conduct:	5.1 I do not bring my role or	limited to 'I do not bring my role of Councillor into	but that wording should be added to
Disrepute Councillors must not	local authority into disrepute.	disrepute'. This limitation means that the draft	recognise the ability of Councillors to bring
act in a manner which could be		Code does not include guidance to specifically	legitimate challenge in relation to Council
seen to bring the council or the		address behaviour that may bring the Council	functions and operation.
role of councillor into disrepute.		itself into disrepute.	
Recommendation 6: Local	As a councillor:	The working group concluded that the	That there is no objection to the proposed
authorities should be required to	10.2 I register with the	requirement for maintaining a running total from	amendment.
establish a register of gifts and	Monitoring Officer any gift or	a single source was not practicable. The working	
hospitality, with councillors	hospitality with an estimated	group considered that the Councillor code and the	
required to record any gifts and	value of at least £50 within 28	Officer code should be the same.	
hospitality received over a value	days of its receipt.		
of £50, or totalling £100 over a	10.3 I register with the	As a Councillor:	
year from a single source. This	Monitoring Officer any	10.2 I will only accept gifts and hospitality when	
requirement should be included	significant gift or hospitality	on a scale appropriate to the circumstances, and	
in an updated model code of	that I have been offered but	where it is apparent that no cause could	
conduct.	have refused to accept.	reasonably arise for adverse criticism about the	
		acceptance of the gift or hospitality. Hospitality is	
		usually acceptable when the invitation is	
		corporate not personal.	
		Whatever gift/hospitality is provided to you, other	
		than a gift or hospitality of nominal value only	
		(such as drink or small items of stationery), you	
		should report the circumstances and the type of	
		hospitality to the Monitoring Officer. Small	
		insignificant gifts of a value of less than £50, such	

Predetermination and	Does not appear in the model	as pens, diaries, calendars, mouse mats or mugs, may be accepted.  10.3 I will register with the Monitoring Officer any significant gift or hospitality that I have been offered but have refused to accept.  Draft includes paragraphs relating to	That the draft Code should reference
predisposition and bias (does not appear in Report)	code.	predetermination and predisposition, and bias.	relevant guidance but not attempt to repeat or summarise it.
Recommendation 13: Councillors should be given the right to appeal to the Local Government Ombudsman if their local authority imposes a period of suspension for breaching the code of conduct.  Recommendation 14: The Local Government Ombudsman should be given the power to investigate and decide upon an allegation of a code of conduct breach by a councillor, and the appropriate sanction, on appeal by a councillor who has had a suspension imposed. The Ombudsman's decision should be binding on the local authority.  (NB: Both recommendations require a change to the law)	Not included as requires a law change	The working group favour the concept of an appeal process (for subject members only) and have requested a more detailed paper on the issue to be provided to A&G for discussion.	That there is no objection to this issue being considered in more detail at Committee.
Recommendation 15: The Local	Best practice 9: Where a local	On completion of the assessment or	That all decision notices where there is a
Government Transparency Code	authority makes a decision on	determination of a complaint a decision notice will	definitive outcome (of breach or no breach
should be updated to require	an allegation of misconduct	be issued as detailed above. If a complaint is	of the Code) should be routinely published
councils to publish annually: the number of code of conduct complaints they receive; what the	following a formal investigation, a decision notice should be published as soon as possible on	upheld, it will be published on Cheshire East Council's website, and it will be available for public	unless the Monitoring Officer agrees there is a reason not to (e.g. risk or harm or harassment)

complaints broadly relate to (e.g.	its website, including a brief	inspection at Cheshire East Council's offices for 6	
bullying; conflict of interest); the	statement of facts, the	years from the date of issue.	
outcome of those complaints,	provisions of the code engaged		
including if they are rejected as	by the allegations, the view of		
trivial or vexatious; and any	the Independent Person, the		
sanctions applied.	reasoning of the decision-		
	maker, and any sanction		
	applied.		
	Best practice 15: Senior officers	The working group at para 5.13 felt it important	That the Model Code Best Practice 15
	should meet regularly with	not to allow the Monitoring Officer to inform the	provision be incorporated into the draft
	political group leaders or group	Group Leader or Whip of relevant member	Code.
	whips to discuss standards	complaint matters. The working group considered	
	issues.	that it was not best practice for the Group Leader	
		or Administrator/ Whip to be routinely informed	
		of conduct matters, and that it would in any event	
		not be useful particularly if complaints had not	
		been upheld	

## Additional notable changes to draft procedure:

- 1. The formal report considered by the sub-committee will include a record of the observations of any witness and the subject councillor (assuming they have chosen to cooperate). The requirement for 'live' witness is therefore not mandatory and given the cost and time involved should only be considered in the most exceptional circumstances. The officer recommendation is that no witnesses should be involved in the sub-committee hearing itself, and that all witness evidence should be dealt with at the investigation stage of the process. Subject only to an exceptional circumstance provision. This officer recommendation was rejected by the working group, on the basis that this may prejudice the subject member's presentation of their case, and that the ability to call witnesses and the number of witnesses called should be at the discretion of the sub-committee. **Group Leaders considered that Code of Conduct hearings should take the form of a committee meeting rather than adversarial process, and that witnesses should be identified and given adequate opportunity to be included within the investigation part of the process.**
- 2. The working group are recommending the removal of the Monitoring Officer's discretion and that no changes are made to the adopted process without the consent of the Audit and Governance Committee. The new process will be fixed and require clear compliance if any matter is to proceed to subcommittee. The working group considered that the process should have the oversight of the Committee, with any changes to it to be considered by Members. **Group Leaders** were content for the Committee to deal with proposed amendments to procedure as they arose.